



2014 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

1-10-2014

Kathleen Roberts v. Upper Darby Township

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014

Recommended Citation

"Kathleen Roberts v. Upper Darby Township" (2014). *2014 Decisions*. 50.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014/50

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2014 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No: 12-4604

KATHLEEN ROBERTS; M.W.,
A MINOR, BY HIS MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN,
KATHLEEN ROBERTS,
Appellants

v.

TOWNSHIP OF UPPER DARBY; SUPERINTENDENT MICHAEL CHITWOOD;
CAPTAIN GEORGE RHOADES; CAPTAIN ANTHONY PAPARO; ARTHUR
EARLE; OFFICER WILLIAM MCGOLDRICK; OFFICER PHILIP LYDON; OFFICER
WILLIAM SMINKEY

Appeal from the United States District Court
For the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
(Civ. No. 2-10-cv-00190)
District Judge: Honorable Legrome D. Davis

Submitted Under Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)
October 31, 2013

Before: McKEE, *Chief Judge*, FISHER and SLOVITER, *Circuit Judges*

(Opinion Filed: January 10, 2014)

OPINION

McKEE, *Chief Judge*.

Kathleen Roberts appeals the district court's grant of summary judgment to the Township of Upper Darby and members of its police force. We will affirm the grant of summary judgment substantially for the reasons set forth by the district court.

Since we write primarily for the parties who are familiar with this litigation, we need not recite the underlying procedural and factual background of this case.

The district court concluded that Plaintiffs had failed to establish a genuine dispute as to any material fact on any of the claims in the complaint. *Roberts v. Twp. of Upper Darby*, No. 2:10-cv-190, 2012 WL 5928993 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 26, 2012). This appeal followed.

In its Memorandum Opinion, the district court carefully and thoroughly explained its reasoning as well as the basis for concluding that there was no genuine dispute as to any material fact pertaining to any of the federal or state claims set forth in the complaint. In doing so, the court undertook a well reasoned analysis that adequately and accurately disposed of each claim that Roberts raised.

Since little can be added to the district court's analysis, we will affirm the district court's grant of summary judgment as to all claims substantially for the reasons set forth in the district court's Memorandum Opinion.